



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<u>PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Original_Manuscript_PCBMB_12165
Title of the Manuscript:	“Impact of standardizing macro-nutrient (Nitrogen) requirement in newly released potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) var. Kufri Neelkanth”
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript studies the Impact of standardizing Nitrogen macro-nutrient requirement in newly released potato.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>The article has comprehensive abstract.</p> <p>The entire sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript's structure follows the scientific method.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	



J. K. Press

Optional comments	Dear Authors, Try to follow the comments and suggestions, which are remarked in word version in Track change in the word file. All revisions should be addressed carefully to improve the manuscript.	
--------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwan Noori Ramadhan
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq