



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12223
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING INSTRUCTIONAL PACKAGE FOR TEACHING MATHEMATICS FOR THE HEARING IMPAIRED STUDENTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, since the subject addressed is necessary when talking about the inclusion of people with some type of disability.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is very explanatory</p> <p>3. Yes. With the summary we can get an idea of what the work is about</p> <p>4. The manuscript is very well prepared and its structure is organized</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is correct</p> <p>6. I suggest that you update the references to references with at least 5 years of publication so that the work is more up to date</p> <p>1.</p>	



Minor REVISION comments	Sim	
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lays Santos França
Department, University & Country	Brasil