



I. K. Press

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JOGRESS_12223 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATING INSTRUCTIONAL PACKAGE FOR TEACHING MATHEMATICS FOR THE HEARING IMPAIRED STUDENTS |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's feedback |
|---|--|-------------------|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Yes, it can. But must revise any more2. Evaluating an Instructional Package for Teaching Mathematics to Hearing Impaired Students3. less comprehensive, as it does not explain what type of research method was used in this study4. Can be5. No, because it does not explain what type of method is used in the research, then it is not explained in depth from and discussion, and finally there is no newness in this research6. No, because it uses a very long year. Minimum last 5 years 2024 to 2019 | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Can be | |
| <p><u>Optional</u> comments</p> | | |



J. K. Press

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Darmawan Budiyanto |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Tridinanti, Indonesia |