

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12220
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE CURRENT SCENARIO AND FUTURE PROSPECTS FOR RAIPUR DISTRICT CENTRAL COOPERATIVE BANK, CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	

General guidelines:

The journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected solely on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided that the manuscript is strong enough and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer finds interesting and will gain a larger readership – both of which are subjective judgments and lead to frustrating decisions and delays publication. The journal will rigorously review your submissions and publish all papers that are assessed as technically sound.

For a complete guide to the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>

1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
--	--------------------	-------------------

<p>1. <u>Mandatory REVISION Comments</u></p> <p>2. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community ?</p> <p>3. (Please write a few sentences on this script)</p> <p>4. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>5. (If not, suggest an alternate title)</p> <p>6. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>7. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>8. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>9. Are the references sufficient and up-to-date? If you have any suggestions for additional references, mention them in the review form.</p> <p>10. <u>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is very important because the researcher must get a scientific researcher 13 pages or 2555 words</p> <p>The title is not suitable or does not fit the purpose ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPMENT AND PERFORMANCE OF THE RAIPUR DISTRICT CENTRAL COOPERATIVE BANK, CHHATTISGARH</p> <p>Not yet comprehensive (not yet seen Conclusion in the abstract)</p> <p>Not in by the subdivisions and structure in scientific research</p> <p>Not in my scientific research standards</p> <p>References are not enough and there are still many years of journal publications under 2010</p> <p>This text needs to be expanded to the Scopus-indexed international standard</p> <p>Article needs correction</p> <p>Must be tailored to the research objectives</p> <p>Conclusions and suggestions must be included</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>Must be adjusted Introduction, Literature Review and Hypothesis Development, Methodology, Conclusion, and References</p> <p>Must be adjusted and corrected</p> <p>References at least 2014 or better 2019 and above</p> <p>Needs complete improvement</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>If used for journals that are not indexed by Scopus, then minor revisions</p> <p>English needs improvement</p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>	<p>This manuscript can be continued as long as it needs to be improved</p> <p>Needs improvement, especially abstracts, research results, and references</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masno, S.E.
Department, University & Country	Pamulang University, Indonesia