



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJOCR_12144
Title of the Manuscript:	The Future of Protection: Unleashing the Power of Nanotech Against Corrosion
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, corrosion affects the quality and lifespan of products, and highlighting processing methods is crucial.  2. Maybe yes.  3. Yes.  4. No.  5. Yes.  6. The reference list contains 100 references, but only 30 references are mentioned in the text. It is highly recommended to address references, whether in the text or the list.  ***** 7. The review lacks illustrations and comparisons.  8. The conclusions lack recommendations or future prospects in this field.  9. I repeat my comment regarding references. References must be balanced between the text and the reference list.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Avoid using the pronouns I and we when writing. Use the passive voice.	
<b><u>Optional</u></b> comments		



# I. K. Press

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saif Ali Kadhim
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq