

Journal Name: Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number: Original Manuscript\_AJOCR\_12144

Title of the Manuscript: The Future of Protection: Unleashing the Power of Nanotech Against Corrosion

Type of the Article Review Article

## **General guideline:**

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.ikprress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline)



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Only the basic concepts and facts are there. No	
1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific	scientifice literature evidence in the manuscript.	
community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)		
( load man a lon coment on and manager,		
2. Is the title of the article suitable?		
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
of the about of the article comprehensive.		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript		
appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically		
correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you		
have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
mention them in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are		
free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	Ok	
MINION REVISION COMMENTS	OK	
1. Is the language/English quality of the article		
suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional</u> comments		



## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fasiulla	
Department, University & Country	University & Country Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India	