

Journal Name:	<a href="#">PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PCBMB_12531
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Genetic Mechanisms of Plant-Pathogen Resistance in Crop Breeding</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into genetic mechanisms that can be leveraged for developing disease-resistant crops. I like it because it highlights recent advances in molecular breeding, offering practical applications for crop improvement. Its relevance makes it a useful resource for both researchers and breeders.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Title is fine</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is ok, but it is a bit longer than journals policy</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Please delete unnecessary subsections as mentioned in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust, as it thoroughly reviews genetic mechanisms of plant-pathogen resistance using well-referenced, peer-reviewed studies. It accurately explains molecular breeding techniques and genetic pathways, ensuring technical precision. Its clear structure and comprehensive coverage support the credibility of its scientific claims</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please</b></p>	<p>No, the references are not sufficient as per journal policy</p>	

mention them in the review form. =		
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Please remove unnecessary subheadings generated through AI 2. Add more references wherever necessary 3. Please see the manuscript and make the suggested corrections <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Lellapalli Rithesh
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India