

RJournal Name:	PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PCBMB_12531
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Genetic Mechanisms of Plant-Pathogen Resistance in Crop Breeding
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The selection of review article is should be a very narrow or specific to crop is very important, than only author collect the information very concise manure and identify the research gap on this area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Give the title should be very narrow	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not enough Abstract initially introduce the review, and next provide the information about importance of this review, whatyour understood, what is the knowledge gap, from your review understanding what will be do in the future research is very important	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add the appropriate information, and recent three year information	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this	A technical table and figure two or more include in the manuscript	

part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the recent references and as per the style of the journal	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve the English	
Optional/General comments	Write the manuscript very narrowly and specific to the current scientific status PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayyandurai M
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India