

Journal Name:	Journal of Medicine and Health Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOMHR_12539
Title of the Manuscript:	Dual Herbal Impact: Biochemical and Nephrotoxic Effects of Odogwu Bitters and Goko Cleanser on Wistar Rat Kidneys
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is highly beneficial and essential for the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be changed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author's explanation is unclear. To improve clarity, they should first introduce the drug and its study, and then present the results of the animal study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Inconsistency in naming conventions: Use consistent naming conventions (local or botanical) for all ingredients, including Odogowu and Goko cleaner.2. Redundancy: Remove repetitive information about Wistar rats.3. Lack of transparency in dose fixation: Provide references or clarify the rationale behind the dose selection for Odogowu bitter and Goko cleaners herbal mixture.	

	<p>4. Outdated euthanasia method: Replace cervical dislocation with overdose of anesthetic drug for animal euthanasia.</p> <p>5. Disorganized structure: Move phytochemical analysis to precede animal studies, ensuring a logical sequence.</p> <p>6. Unclear study outcome: Clearly state the study's conclusions and implications.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>Latest indexed journals need to be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language refinement and spelling correction are required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.Gomathi Priyadharsini
Department, University & Country	Vivekanandha Dental College for Women, India