

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12525
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Examination Malpractice on Educational Integrity and Quality in Secondary Schools: Insights from Kinondoni Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript highlights a crucial issue affecting education in Tanzania and beyond—examination malpractice. It threatens the credibility of qualifications and weakens the workforce's quality. The study is important because it identifies the causes of malpractice and suggests solutions to restore academic integrity. I appreciate its relevance, as addressing this issue is essential for improving educational standards and national development.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is mostly clear and descriptive, but it could be refined for better clarity and impact. Here's a slightly improved version:</p> <p>"Examination Malpractice and Its Impact on Educational Integrity and Quality: A Case Study of Secondary Schools in Kinondoni Municipality, Tanzania."</p> <p>This version simplifies the wording while maintaining the key focus on malpractice, educational integrity, and quality, with the specific location clearly stated.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I suggest making the abstract more concise by focusing on the key elements: the purpose of the study, the main findings, and the implications. You can reduce the level of detail in the methodology section and focus more on the impact of the findings. For instance, instead of listing all participant groups, you can summarize them as "stakeholders." Also, briefly mention what technological solutions are recommended to provide clarity on that point. This will make the abstract clearer and more impactful for readers.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate for the study's objectives. The introduction effectively sets the context and significance of the research, while the methodology clearly outlines the research design and data collection methods. This logical flow facilitates understanding of how the study was conducted and the rationale behind the chosen approach. If additional sections, such as results and discussion, follow the same clarity and organization, the overall structure will enhance the manuscript's coherence and readability.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust due to its mixed-method research approach, which integrates quantitative and qualitative data to thoroughly examine examination malpractice in Kinondoni Municipality. The cross-sectional design captures insights from multiple stakeholders, enhancing the reliability of the findings. The use of thematic</p>	

manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	analysis and statistical techniques ensures rigorous data analysis. Overall, the methodology supports the manuscript's technical soundness and provides valuable insights for policy improvements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references provide a mix of relevant sources on examination malpractice, but several are outdated, with some dating back to the 1930s and 1970s. While foundational theories are important, including more recent studies (preferably from the last five years) would enhance the manuscript's relevance. Additionally, incorporating more research specifically focused on Tanzania or similar educational contexts would strengthen the local applicability of the findings. Overall, updating and diversifying the references will improve the manuscript's scientific rigor and relevance. 4o mini	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear and effectively conveys the research objectives and findings.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Refining certain areas for clarity and ensuring grammatical accuracy could enhance the overall quality. A thorough proofreading would help to meet high scholarly standards.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Nasir
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Maldives