

Journal Name:	Journal of Global Research in Education and Social Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOGRESS_12525
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Examination Malpractice on Educational Integrity and Quality in Secondary Schools: Insights from Kinondoni Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1ReviewerHub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1ReviewerHub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1ReviewerHub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The findings in the manuscript may contribute to solving a perennial problem which is the prevalence of examination malpractices amongst school students. The topic is also current and addresses an issue that is quite old but still of great concern to the academic community.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>To make the abstract more comprehensive, the author should as well state the sampling techniques employed in arriving at the sample for the study and atleast briefly describe how the research instruments were validated. (Reliability coefficient of questionnaire ought have to have been stated)</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Adequate, however it is suggested that author should provide a separate section "Discussion of Findings/Results ". Here, the author should either confirm or disconfirm the study findings using some earlier findings. An empirical article of this nature, should also have a subsection itemizing the 'Research Objectives and Questions' which guided the investigation.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research topic has dependent and independent variables proper research design and analysis of subsequent data.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of</p>	<p>In-text citations and reference list are quite APA 7th Ed compliant.</p>	

additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Absolutely yes	
Optional/General comments	Article is satisfactory and publishable after moderate modifications by author.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aliyu Yaya Aliyu
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Education, Nigeria