

Journal Name:	Journal of Basic and Applied Research international
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBARI_12553
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Challenges Encountered by Local Politics in Dealing with Squatter Settlements in Tanzania: The Case of Mwanza City
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is relevant. The research problem is well framed. Data analysis has a rational base. However review of literature seems to be weak and lacking updated research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Perfect	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Perfect	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific base is appropriate. Statistical modulation is supportive to meet up the objectives.	
Are the references sufficient	Reference is not sufficient. Current researches in this field is	

and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	seriously lacking.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Review of literature needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments	Minor modifications are suggested. Review of literature needs to be developed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Somenath Bhattacharjee
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India