

Journal Name:	Journal of Basic and Applied Research international
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOBARI_12553
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Challenges Encountered by Local Politics in Dealing with Squatter Settlements in Tanzania: The Case of Mwanza City
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The issue of informal settlement in developing countries	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Haven't seen focus group discussion in the analysis. And the 390 respondents are both local government and communities, there should be more clarification.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Not really scientifically robust, need more specific elaboration especially in analysis, landuse map (Figure 6).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not really, there have been some repeatedly citations from the same quote.	

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need a revision that make qualitative research more empirical, i.e.; photographs, maps, categorization & typology	
Optional/General comments	It will be more relatable if the authors could provide landuse maps of the area, to see how the squatters are with the other landuse, especially higher income settlement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariya Aruninta
Department, University & Country	Chulalongkorn University, Thailand