

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Biology and Nature</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOBAN_12484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Identification of salt tolerant sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.) genotypes under invitro condition</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The investigation "Identification of salt tolerant sesame ( <i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.) genotypes under invitro condition" is of scientific importance. The authors attempts to evaluate some sesame genotypes based on seed related paramters.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title need to be changed "Evaluation of sesame genotypes under invitro-salt stress conditions based on seed-related traits"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is written well however, author should add few llines regarding the experimental conditons, location and methodology before presenting results directly. Also add objective of study.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The discussion section is missing	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this</b>	Technically the manuscript is ok but need major revisions	

<b>part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No, need to add more supportive reference and discuss results with appropriate references	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need to improve	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please refer MS for additional comments	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Brij Bihari Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, India</b>