

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Global Economics, Management and Business Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JGEMBR_12538 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Defending the Inclusion of Organizational Theory and Behavior in PhD Programs in Educational Leadership and Policy |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p> | | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>An honest effort has been made. Various theories of literature analysis were used. The relationship between form and content was emphasized. The emphasis between structure, culture and behavior in ever-changing environments was analyzed. Obstacles and new approaches were identified. Topical data on educational leadership appeared.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>Reviewer's comment</p> | <p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p> |
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Name:</p> | <p>Koukoumpliakos Ioannis</p> |
| <p>Department, University & Country</p> | <p>University of S. Bohemia, Czechia</p> |