



I. K. Press

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics and Trade</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JET_12228  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Lustrum Performance on Government Revenue for Eastern Zone Forestry Stations in Malawi (2019 – 2023)</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>



## 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's feedback |
|---|---|-------------------|
| <p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?<br/>(Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li><li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li><li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li><li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li><li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li><li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</li></ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p>The manuscript provides clarity to the scientific community on how taxation is applied in forest areas. It explores the challenges and opportunities of forest tax effectiveness, particularly for countries that collect taxes on natural resources.</p> <p>Over all the research article is good.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured and descriptive<br/>Introduction is well designed and well presented.<br/>However, the theme could be enriched with latest citations</p> <p>The manuscript has well organized and appropriate, however sample selection is not appropriate. In addition to the fact that the population used in the study is not difficult to manage (Since the population of technical and accounts personnel was 56 and It's not advisable using of sample for a small population)</p> <p>Results are also scientifically correct and appropriate</p> |                   |
| <p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li></ol>   | <p>It has a good language quality</p>   |                   |



# J. K. Press

|                          |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Optional</b> comments | <p>Need to include updated references as the most recent reference is of 2016, which cannot be overlooked. References is outdated need to include some good paper from the recent past as well.</p> <p>Samples are used when population sizes are too large and difficult to manage. Most Statistician believes that if your population is less than 100 then you really need to survey all of them. Therefore, the sampling method and topics written about the sample size determination are not appropriate. It is recommended that topics related to sampling would be removed from the paper.</p> <p>since the researcher completed the research paper and the population is under 100, in this regard using sample size is not allowed. So, 49 which was set as a sample must be counted as respondents from total population.</p> |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|

## **PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Moges Mengstu Kassaw</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ethiopia</b>             |