

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_12533
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL IMPACT OF HOUSEFLY MAGGOT MEAL ON GROWTH OF AFRICAN CATFISH (CLARIAS GARIEPINUS)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work should be accepted with minor corections. References in this work should be updated to make it more relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, very comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It showcases the effect of maggot meal on clarias gariepinus which will add to other researches on the said subject.	

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be updated from 2014 to 2024. The older ;referbces should not be expunged but should still remain.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very suitable. Minor corrections needed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert E.A
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, Nigeria