

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Plant and Soil Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPSS_12550
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATE THE POTENTIAL OF USING Lepironia articulata AS AN ORNAMENAL INDOOR POT PLANT FOR THE TABLE ARRANGEMENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As indoorscaping is growing organism, need to go for more research topics on indoor plants. As lack of spaces in urban areas need to implement the indoor gardening ideas. I like this topic is because of new discovering the indoor plant spp.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Alternative is "Investigation of" better to add in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fully explained. Abstract is lengthy. Need to reduce the treatments details in simple format.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. need to add numbers.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are well suitable. One reference is missing.	

=		
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Everything is good. Language is appropriate.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paladugu Chakradhar
Department, University & Country	College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta, Dr YSR Horticulture University, India