

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOMCOR_12537</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DESIGNING A SECURE AI-POWERED INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM FOR INTERNET OF THINGS (IOT) NETWORKS USING MACHINE LEARNING</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

<p>Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The title of the paper suggests that it DESIGNING A SECURE AI-POWERED INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM FOR INTERNET OF THINGS (IOT) NETWORKS USING MACHINE LEARNING, but the content does not present original findings, empirical data, or conclusions based on new research. It reads more like a summary or overview without in-depth analysis or synthesized results.</p> <p>A proper review paper typically involves critical analysis, synthesis of existing research, and presentation of findings or a new perspective. This paper lacks such elements, presenting mainly general information without offering new insights, meta-analysis, or summarizing key research gaps supported by data.</p> <p>The uniformity in phrasing, repetition, and general discussion could indicate AI generation. The paper does not demonstrate the level of critical thinking or nuanced argumentation expected from human researchers, which usually involves in-depth comparisons, cited discussions, and author commentary.</p> <p>The references cited are either incomplete, vague, or used inconsistently (e.g., "(9,10,11,12,13)" without clarity or matching a reference list). This is not typical of a well-researched paper where references are cited accurately and contribute meaningfully to the argument.</p> <p>There is no clear voice or stance taken by the author, which is typical in AI-generated or very generic summaries. A review paper should convey the author's interpretations, critical evaluations, and synthesis of the literature, which is missing here.</p> <p>A proper review should include data from various sources, comparisons, or in-depth case studies to validate the discussed concepts. This paper does not incorporate any such elements, making</p>	

	it lack depth.	
--	----------------	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit Sagu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharshi Dayanand University, India</b>