

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOMCOR_12529
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MATRIX GROUPS AND EFFICIENT LATTICE-BASED SIGNATURE SCHEMES: A THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL APPROACH TO POST-QUANTUM SECURITY</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please write few Sentences to extract abstract Main Essence of this abstract is Missing	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please Kindly chooses Suitable title of this article. In this we can give Strong Background and literature review in Part 1	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In this Main section of this paper Require More Subsections Required for Post quantum Security	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Explanation to clarity of issues Problems theme of your article	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Critical and constructive assessment of this Paper is Missing Ineffective aspects weaknesses improvement of this article. Strengths of this article is more effective to utilize	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. _	Include few more references connectivity of this article and more latest	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve English quality of the article required	
Optional/General comments	Overall article is good Enhance Strengths of this article	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P.Sateesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St.Peter's Engineering college, India</b>