

Journal Name: [Asian Journal of Current Research](#)  
 Manuscript Number: Ms\_AJOCR\_12561  
 Title of the Manuscript: **The State of Education in India's Union Territories: A Quantitative Analysis of Literacy Rates, School Distribution, and Infrastructure Needs**  
 Type of the Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This report is valuable because it provides enough comprehensive analysis of the educational sphere across the Union Territories of India, highlighting disparities in infrastructure and literacy levels as a result of various measured indicators. By leveraging primary data, it offers an evidence-based foundation for understanding regional inequalities and urban-rural divides in level literacy skills.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes, it is suitable enough.	

(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is detailed enough, with little modification; to include at least one urban area among those that enjoys better educational results and infrastructural development.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by employing reliable and authoritative sources, such as Census 2011 and the U-DISE+ 2021-2022 report, to support its analysis. The use of quantitative data ensures objectivity and provides a robust framework for evaluating educational disparities across the Union Territories of India.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, they are. However, the findings must be supported by similar literature on the subject	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	This is recommended for publication.	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oyedele Abimbola Ojeniyi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</b>