

Journal Name:	Journal of Medicine and Health Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOMHR_12528
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Serpina3n, Nppa, and Anxa1 Proteins; A Review of Promising Cardiac Biomarkers for Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community. I like this manuscript because it explores the role of proteins for clinical use and shows advantages over conventional cardiac biomarkers of myocardial infarction. The authors rightly emphasize the need for additional clinical studies to investigate the relationship between these proteins and myocardial infarction.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this	The article is well written and interesting. However, the authors should think about the illustration of the article - a diagram, graph, protein structure... This will significantly increase the level of the article.	

part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The list of references must be updated and references for 2023-2024 must be added. There are only 3-4 sources for this year. The authors provide literary journals for 2002, 2012, and in parentheses that this source is cited in 2024. However, this article was printed in 2002 or 2012 and is understood to be cited now. Therefore, I ask the authors to update the list of references, because the article is well written.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The authors should think about the illustration of the article - a diagram, graph, protein structure... This will significantly increase the level of the article. Authors need to update the list of references. After making these corrections, the article can be accepted for printing. I wish the authors further success in science.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nataliya Kitsera
Department, University & Country	Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Ukraine