

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PCBMB_12320</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES IN COMBATING TOMATO POWDERY MILDEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a study that contributes to the knowledge of the effectiveness of the use of various insecticides for the chemical control of tomato powdery mildew, in India. However, the scientific paper is incomplete in its sections. Additionally, the new control options could be mentioned.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES IN COMBATING TOMATO POWDERY MILDEW IN INDIA</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Requires adding synthesis of the methodology	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but these require completion	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A	The methodology is one of the most incomplete sections, it requires adding important information about the study site and statistical analysis, and additionally completing the other sections.	

minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References from recent years are missing.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It would be good to check the English of the text.	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Virginia Meléndez Ramírez
Department, University & Country	University of Yucatan, Mexico