

JournalName:	<a href="#">Journal of Biochemistry International</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JOB1_12316
Titleofthe Manuscript:	EALUATIONOFNUTRITIONAL,PHYTOCHEMICAL,FUNCTIONALANDANTIOXIDANTPROPERTIESOFOPTIMIZED ACHA,CHIAANDSOYCAKEFLOURBLENDS
Typeof theArticle	

**PART1:ReviewComments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback( <i>Please correctthemanuscriptand highlightthatpartin the manuscript.It ismandatorythat</i> )
<b>Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportanceofthis manuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike (ordislike)this manuscript?Aminimumof3-4sentences mayberequiredforthispart.</b>	Thisstudyisimportantinthesensethata healthierflourblendscanbeproducedand be usedin processingbakedfoodproductslike bread,pastriesandthelike. Howeveralongthe wayinthe conductofthestudy,I feelthat thereare methodologieswhichare very importantwhichareremittedoutinthe manuscript,maybethere searchershavethem butnot includedin themanuscript.They shouldbeincludedhere	
<b>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</b>	Titleisnotreflectiveof what wasdone sinceoptimizationmethodology was notthoroughlymentionedhere&thisis very importantinthestudy.	
<b>Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Do yousuggest theaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsint his section? Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.</b>	Theabstractlacksdiscussionon optimizationprocess.Howdidthe researcherscomeaboutusing 3samples foranalysis(functional,nutritional, phytochemica&antioxidant).Why3 only, sinceyou mentioned14 samples? Whyonlythe3sampleswerecollected withdata?	
<b>Are subsectionsand structureofthemanuscript</b>	Yes	

<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and tec</b></p>	<p>There is a sense of scientific correctness here but just lacks proper methodology on the optimizations since the title says "optimized". So there is a need here to show how the optimum condition is obtained and</p>	
--	---	--

	determining what is the optimum formulation achieved.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient since most are recent. I would like to suggest references on optimization be included.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but I found some clerical errors which need to be corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript needs to be overhauled for it to be more acceptable	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

<b><u>Reviewer Details:</u></b>		
Name:	<b>Lorina A. Galvez</b>	
Department, University & Country	<b>Visayas State University, Philippines</b>	