

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Applied Chemical Science International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JACSI_12299
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Highly Porous Fe-Si-Mg-C Alloy by Space Holder Method
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research performed here is a scientific touch to the current field. It will work after proper revision by the authors pannel. There is some strange parts to be corrected.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, some how it works	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure need alignment at the minor	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and	There should be a direct approach of figures and analysis. The author should focus the figure in touch, not the figure coming up next. The reason should be in accordance with the given figures. I have seen figure 46 in the manuscript at the page no 4, but there is no figures 46 exists in the whole paper. The paper need separation from the literature	

technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	section. It will be sound if revised for some technical and typos mistakes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English need revision. The figure 46 should be either removed from the paper or justify its presence. There should be alignment of paper figures and discussion.	
Optional/General comments	The paper need revision and need focus to separate the original work and literature.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waseem Ullah Shah
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology, Pakistan