

Journal Name: [Journal of Biochemistry International](#)
Manuscript Number: Ms_JOB1_12304
Title of the Manuscript: Intercropping of African marigold with tomato for better productivity, pest control and economic returns in Arunachal Pradesh
Type of the Article: This research did not get 2000 words thus it is advised that the research to attain at least 2000 words after revision to be considered as case report however it should arrive at 2500-3500 words (short communication), 3000-4000 words (short research article). We advise a length of 3000-6000 words for original research paper in this case the research could be enriched by adding more tables and/ or figures.

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The theme of the manuscript is interesting as it deals with effect of intercropping in reducing damage of pests.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but the conclusion title could be added as in corrected manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	First T1 and T2 are the same that needs an explanation! Secondly the formula to calculate the Percent fruit damage is incorrect may be it is a mistake during typing that must be corrected as follows % of fruit damage = (Number of fruit with damage/Total Number of Fruits)*100	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Well there are only nine (9) references however according to Journal of Biochemistry International 's author guidelines there must be 15 to 20 key references. It is advised to consult more	

	references to enrich the work especially recent ones such as Ajaykumara et al (2022), Wondimkun Dikr & Ketema Belete (2021) and other recent works.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable scientifically however some linguistic corrections must be done.	
Optional/General comments	In general the work is interesting and could be published after correction whenever amendments are taken in consideration.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bengouga Khalila
Department, University & Country	CRSTRA, Algeria