

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Medicine and Health Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOMHR_12276</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of smoking on transcriptomics of primary oral cavity tumor studied using publicly available (GSE) single-cell RNA seq dataset</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has covered very important points and is scientifically very sound. The concept of passive smoking and its deleterious effects need to be addressed and that is done properly.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>According to me it should be precise and short</b> Effect of smoking on transcriptomics of primary oral cavity tumor</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Abstract needs a bit of background and well defined conclusion.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Subsections and structure are appropriate and don't need any changes.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum</b></p>	<p>As I said it earlier oral cancers are major health risks and they need to be addressed. The study will pave a path for future researches on biomarkers studies.</p>	

<b>3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	References are very old ones need to be corrected only studies which are 5 years old need to be incorporated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Quality of English is not upto the mark , need grammatical corrections and proper phrasing of sentences.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Divya Tandon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lucknow, India</b>