

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Basic and Applied Research international |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JOBARI_12268 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Review on Value Chain Analysis of Millets |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>) |
|--|---|--|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article is important for the scientific community and is written on a current topic. It is always worth remembering that the price of products depends not only on supply and demand. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article corresponds to the content. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract provides comprehensive information about the content of the article. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The article is well structured. | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum | The conclusions are justified. But I would like to see the calculation algorithm and a specific example with current data and prices for goods and services. | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. - | Quite new literature is considered in the article. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Serhii Rieznik |
| Department, University & Country | State Biotechnological University, Ukraine |