



**J. K. Press**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Advances in Food Science &amp; Technology</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAFSAT_11954</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effects of Phytase Enzyme Supplementation on Protein Digestibility, Efficiency of Feed Utilization, Growth and Carcass Protein Content of Tambaqui (<i>Colossoma macropomum</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)	I read this article completely and find it useful for the readers of this article.  Graphical abstract is recommended.  Quantitative information should be provided in the abstract.	Yes, this manuscript would provide new research information for the scientific community.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Many grammar errors could be found in the paper, please check and deeply revise them. Also, the English language of the paper should be improved.	Yes, it is. the title of the article is suitable for the research
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		Yes, it is. The abstract already consists of an introduction, issue, research topic, test fish, research results, and conclusion.
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Apakah menurut Anda naskah tersebut benar secara ilmiah?		Yes, the manuscript's subsections and structure correspond to what was observed in the research.
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. Apakah referensinya cukup dan terkini? Jika Anda mempunyai saran untuk referensi tambahan, harap menyebutkannya di formulir ulasan.		Yes, the article was based on the research result.



# J. K. Press

<b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>		Yes, the references are sufficient and up to date. Most of the references used were from the most recent years.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b><u>Optional</u></b> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	Our manuscript is the outcome of original scientific research that has yet to be published by any publisher. Furthermore, our manuscript has no conflict of interest in reporting any financial or personal relations.