REVIEW PAPER ON: GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) APPLICATION IN SOIL FERTILITY MANAGEMENT

Abstract

As the global population expected to reach 10 billion by 2050 and arable land decreases, innovative soil management strategies are required to maximize agricultural productivity while preserving natural resources. GIS, as a powerful technology, offers a comprehensive solution by enabling the collection, storage, analysis, and visualization of spatial data for agricultural purposes. By integrating GIS with sensors, communication technologies, and data analytics, precision agriculture is possible, allowing for efficient use of agricultural inputs and reduced environmental impact. GIS technology facilitates the simulation and modeling of biological ecosystems, providing valuable insights for sustainable soil management. It has diverse applications in agriculture, ranging from soil nutrient mapping to yield estimations and runoff detection. GIS works by overlaying various layers of information onto digital maps, allowing for the identification of relationships and patterns that are crucial for optimizing soil fertility. Detailed maps created through GIS highlight the distribution of soil nutrients, pH levels, and moisture content, enabling informed decision-making on fertilizer use, irrigation techniques, and land management practices. By harnessing the power of GIS, farmers and land managers can make data-driven decisions that maximize agricultural productivity, conserve resources, and protect soil health for future generations. The integration of GIS with other technologies further enhances its effectiveness in soil fertility management. Overall, GIS is a valuable tool for understanding and managing soil fertility, contributing to sustainable agriculture and food security.

Keywords: Soil fertility management, Geographic information system, Food security, Soil fertility maps.

1.1: Introduction

Geographic Information System (GIS), a key foundational technology, is a powerful system comprising tools for the collection, storage, and retrieval of data, as well as analyzing, transforming, and displaying the spatial data for a specific purpose (Burrough *et al.,* 1998; Gomiero 2013).

As the world population is expected to reach 10.0 billion people in 2050 as it continues to grow, we need to produce about 50% more food to meet the global demand (FAO 2017). This goal needs to be met while facing the challenges of climate change, the limited scope of arable land expansion, and dwindling water resources. The anticipated food production also needs to incorporate practices for sustainable management of croplands to preserve soil health, conserve water resources, and encompass biodiversity (Gomiero 2016).

Considering these challenges and constraints in achieving our food production targets there is an unprecedented need for monitoring of crop growth and health and timely interventions to maintain or improve crop productivity while reducing wastage of inputs and resources (Gomiero

2016). Advances in sensors, communication technologies, computational systems, and powerful data analytics are enabling us to accomplish these tasks. Technologies that can enable efficient use of agricultural inputs and reduce environmental losses while contributing to increased and sustainable production are of great value for achieving food security (Çakmakçı*et. al.,* 2023). Several existing and emerging tools and technologies such as the global positioning system (GPS), geographic information systems (GIS), and remote sensing (RS) are just a few of the technological elements that make up the integrated information and agricultural management system known as precision agriculture (Delgado *et. al.,* 2019). Precision agriculture entails acquiring timely geospatial data on the requirements of the soil, plants, and animals as well as prescribing and applying treatments for specific sites in order to maximize agricultural productivity and save the environment (Çakmakçı*et. al.*, 2023).

GIS technology is increasingly being used to create models that simulate the dynamics of complicated biological ecosystems (Yang *et. al.,* 2004). In addition to maps, GIS enables for the development of visuals, animations, and other cartographic outputs (Bingfng and Chenglin, 2000).

GIS has also been making an impact in diverse domains that include geography, environmental sciences, natural resources, forestry, food, manufacturing, banking, and health services (Soomro 2015). Recent decades have seen a significant increase in the application of GIS tools for diverse applications in agriculture at local, regional, national, or global scales (Gebeyehu 2019). These applications most often involve the use of GIS along with partner technologies such as remote sensing, GPS, and data analytics towards an in-depth understanding of a given farm or a region and facilitating intervention or corrective measures for the crops and/or the soils (Kingra *et al.*, 2019). Since the GIS data are linked to a common referencing system, another advantage GIS offers is that the same data can be used for different applications or goals and we can also bring in other data and, combining that with existing data, we can perform a joint analysis for deriving novel insights (Kazemi and Akinci 2018).

Geographic Information System plays much more bigger role in agricultural production all over the globe, from mobile GIS in the field to scientific production data analysis at the farm manager's office, in order to assist farmers in increasing output, lowering expenses, and managing their property more effectively (Bingfng and Chenglin, 2000). While it is hard to completely regulate natural inputs in agriculture, GIS applications such as agricultural yield estimations, soil supplement evaluations, and runoff detection and remedying may be capable of helping us better understand and manage the soil for optimum crop production (Çakmakçı*et. al.*, 2023).

2.0: How GIS Works

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are computer systems that capture, store, check, and display data related to positions on Earth's surface (U.S. Geological Survey, 2022). They help individuals and organizations better understand spatial patterns and relationships by connecting data to a map, integrating location data (where things are) with descriptive information (what things are like) (NGE, 2022). To maximize soil fertility, understanding the basics of GIS and how they work is crucial.

At its core, GIS enables us to overlay different layers of information such as soil types, topography, climate patterns, and land use, among others, onto a digital map, (Li & Ahmed 2019). By doing so, we can identify relationships, patterns, and trends that would otherwise be difficult to discern, (Ghosh and Kumpatla 2022)**.**

GIS operates on the principle of geographic referencing, where each data point is associated with a specific location on the Earth's surface, (Yao *etm al.,* 2020). This geospatial context allows us to store, manipulate, and analyze data in a way that is visually meaningful and spatially accurate, (Ravi & Shah 2018).

Through GIS, we can create detailed maps that highlight the distribution of soil nutrients, pH levels, moisture content, and other relevant factors, (Ghosh and Kumpatla 2022)**.** By integrating this spatial information with historical data and crop-specific requirements, we can gain insights into the optimal utilization of fertilizers, irrigation techniques, and land management practices, (Singh 2022). GIS can also simulate scenarios and model different agricultural practices to understand their potential impact on soil fertility, (Patel & Kumar 2022). This predictive capability enables farmers and land managers to make informed decisions, minimize environmental degradation, and maximize the productivity of their land, (Li *et. al.*, 2021).

By harnessing the power of GIS, we can unlock a wealth of information that can greatly enhance our understanding of soil fertility, (Li*et. al.,* 2020). It provides us with a comprehensive and spatially explicit view of our land, enabling us to make data-driven decisions that optimize agricultural practices, conserve resources, and sustainably manage our soils for generations to come, (Shah *et al.*, 2019).

2.1:Application of GIS in Soil Fertility

Soil fertility is the backbone of successful agriculture, Patel & Singh (2020). It refers to the ability of soil to provide essential nutrients and support healthy plant growth (Adams 2022). As farmers and growers, it is crucial to understand the significance of soil fertility and how it directly impacts crop productivity and overall agricultural sustainability, (Thompson 2016).
When soil lacks the necessary nutrients, plants struggle to grow and thrive (Davis 2019). This, in turn, can lead to reduced yields, increased susceptibility to pests and diseases, and overall poor crop quality (Ahmed *et al.,* 2021). On the other hand, well-fertile soil provides a rich foundation for robust plant growth, leading to higher yields, improved crop quality, and a more sustainable farming system, as discussed by Rodriguez & Cooper (2022).

Soil fertility encompasses various factors, including nutrient content, soil pH, organic matter, and soil structure, (Gonzalez 2020). Each of these factors plays a vital role in creating an optimal environment for plant growth, (Young 2017). Nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium are essential for plant development and must be present in adequate quantities (Li & Zhou 2018).

Understanding soil fertility is not a one-size-fits-all approach, as highlighted by Clark (2020). Different soils have different nutrient compositions and requirements, (Patel 2019). This is where Geographic Information Systems (GIS) come into play, as explained by Kumar (2021). GIS technology allows farmers and agronomists to analyze and map soil properties, enabling them to make informed decisions about nutrient management and crop planning, (Ravi & Singh 2017).

By utilizing GIS, farmers can create detailed soil fertility maps that highlight variations in nutrient levels across their fields, (Wu *et al.*, (2019). This information can then be used to strategically apply fertilizers or soil amendments, ensuring that each area receives the appropriate nutrients based on its specific requirements, as demonstrated by Zhou & Li (2020). This precision approach dose not only maximizes crop productivity but also minimizes input costs and reduces the environmental impact of excess nutrient application, as emphasized by Shah (2022).

Soil fertility is a fundamental aspect of successful agriculture, by understanding the importance of soil fertility and utilizing technologies like GIS, farmers can optimize nutrient management practices, improve crop yields, and contribute to a sustainable and efficient agricultural system, as highlighted by Clark (2020).

2.2: Role of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in Maximizing Soil Fertility

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play a crucial role in maximizing soil fertility, according to Kumar & Ravi (2022). They provide a powerful tool for analyzing and understanding the complex relationships between soil characteristics, climate patterns, and geographical features (Shah 2022).

GIS allows farmers and agricultural professionals to collect, store, manipulate, and analyze large amounts of spatial data related to soil fertility, as discussed by Patel & Rana (2018). By integrating various layers of information, such as soil composition, organic matter content, nutrient levels, and topography, GIS provides a comprehensive view of the soil landscape, (Li *et al.*, 2021).

One of the key advantages of GIS is its ability to generate accurate and detailed maps that depict the spatial distribution of soil properties, according to Wu & Shah (2019). These maps enable farmers to identify areas with varying levels of fertility and make informed decisions regarding nutrient management, irrigation, and crop selection, as highlighted by Singh (2017).

Moreover, GIS can be utilized to monitor changes in soil fertility over time, as evidenced by (Zhou *et al.*, 2022). By overlaying historical data with current information, farmers can assess the effectiveness of their management practices and identify areas that require further attention or improvement, (Ahmed & Kumar 2020).

Another important aspect of GIS is its integration with other agricultural technologies, according to Young & Li (2022). For example, by combining GIS with precision agriculture tools such as remote sensing (RS) and global positioning system (GPS), farmers can gather real-time data on soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels. This information allows for precise and targeted application of fertilizers, pesticides, and water resources, minimizing waste and maximizing crop yield, (Patel *et al.*, 2019).

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) provide an invaluable tool for maximizing soil fertility, according to Shah (2022). By analyzing and visualizing spatial data, farmers can make informed decisions, optimize resource allocation, and implement sustainable agricultural practices, (Singh & Kumar 2021). Embracing GIS technology empowers farmers to enhance their productivity, reduce costs, and contribute to the overall sustainability of the farming industry, (Ravi *et al.*, 2022).

2.3: Key Data Layers and Variables used in GIS for Soil Fertility Mapping

When it comes to maximizing soil fertility, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play a crucial role in understanding the spatial distribution of soil properties and nutrients, (Patel & Shah 2022). By utilizing various data layers and variables in GIS, researchers and farmers can create accurate soil fertility maps that provide valuable insights for effective agricultural management (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

One of the key data layers used in GIS for soil fertility mapping is elevation data, according to Wu & Kumar (2021). Elevation can significantly impact soil formation and nutrient distribution (Zhou & Ravi 2017). By analyzing elevation data, researchers can identify areas with high and low elevations, which can help determine how water flows and accumulates in the landscape, (Young 2018). This information is essential for understanding how nutrients are transported and deposited across different areas of the field.

2.4: Techniques for Creating Soil Fertility Maps Using GIS Software

Creating soil fertility maps using GIS software is a powerful technique that can greatly enhance your understanding of your land and help maximize its fertility (Kerry *et al.*, 2010). GIS software allows you to analyze and visualize various spatial data layers, such as soil samples, topography, climate, and vegetation, to create detailed and accurate fertility maps (Hengl *et al.*, 2004).

One technique for creating soil fertility maps is by collecting soil samples from different locations across your land (Mallarino & Wittry, 2004). These samples can be analyzed for various soil properties, such as pH, organic matter content, nutrient levels, and texture (Havlin *et* *al.*, 2005). By geo-referencing these samples and inputting the data into your GIS software, you can create a spatial database of soil properties (Kravchenko *et al.*, 2002).

Once you have your soil sample data, you can use interpolation techniques within the GIS software to create a continuous fertility map (Oliver & Webster, 2014). Interpolation methods, such as kriging or inverse distance weighting, use the known soil sample points to estimate values at unknown locations (Goovaerts, 1999). This allows you to generate a comprehensive fertility map that shows the spatial distribution of soil properties across your entire land (Kerry *et al.*, 2010).

Additionally, GIS software enables you to overlay other spatial data layers to further enhance your fertility maps (Mulla & Schepers, 1997). For example, you can incorporate digital elevation models to analyze the impact of slope and aspect on soil fertility (Moore *et al.*, 1993). You can also integrate climate data to assess how temperature and precipitation patterns affect soil nutrient availability.

By utilizing GIS software and these techniques, you can gain valuable insights into your soil's fertility patterns and make informed decisions regarding fertilization, crop rotation, and soil management practices (Kitchen *et. al.*, 2005). This data-driven approach helps in optimizing nutrient distribution, target specific areas for improvement, and ultimately maximize the overall fertility of the land (Sudduth *et. al.*, 1997).

2.5: Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Models Used in Soil Fertility Management

GIS enables the development of predictive models for soil fertility and nutrient management (Feng *et al.*, 2018). By analyzing historical data and incorporating spatial information, GIS can assist in predicting future soil fertility trends (Smith *et. al.*, 2017). This predictive capability allows farmers to plan and implement proactive measures to maintain or improve soil fertility over the long term planning.

2.5.1. *Land Suitability Assessment*

Soil fertility is the backbone of successful agriculture, it refers to the ability of soil to provide essential nutrients and support healthy plant growth (El-Seedy *et. al., 2022).* We are in an era where we are facing the challenge of feeding billions of people while the fertile land is shrinking, therefore, we need to optimize the use of natural resources to maximize its benefits. GIS provides an excellent platform for assessing the quality of land for suitable applications.

Multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) approach based on GIS is the most popular choice among researchers for land use planning. Researchers use different features offered by GIS such as soil type distribution, soil texture map, buried deep underground water level distribution, soil fertility distribution, soil pollution distribution, hydraulic conductivity of soil (Ks), slope (S), soil texture (ST), depth to water-table (DTW), and electrical conductivity of groundwater (ECw), climate conditions, topography, and satellite data, and identify the variety of interactions, dependencies, and the impact of these interacting factors on sustainable land use.

Zolekar and Bhagat (2015) have used GIS-based MCDM model with IRS P6 LISS-IV images as input for the evaluation of agricultural practices in hilly regions. The rank of influential criteria was determined by correlation analysis and recommendations from scientific literature. The combined use of remote sensing and GIS turned out to be beneficial for land suitability evaluation.

Pan and Pan (2011) applied three scales, two-step analytic hierarchy processes (AHP) for GIS-based crop suitability assessment. They have emphasized the importance of selecting appropriate evaluation factors and suggested the consideration of features with a significant difference and controlling the land use and avoiding causality. Following this approach of feature selection, the AHP output was spatially distinct. The authors have recommended appropriate land use based on land suitability maps. In another study by (El Baroudy *et. al.*, 2016) selected the features based on growth requirements for examining the land suitability for the wheat crop.

Analytic Network Process (ANP) model was deployed for assessing the interdependence of strategic input features for site suitability evaluation of citrus crops (Zabihi *et. al.*, 2015). The ANP coupled with GIS–MCDM identified critical factors for maximizing yield and minimizing production loss.

AHP integrated with geo-statistics had proven its merit for maize cultivation land suitability mapping in calcareous and saline-sodic soils (Tashayo *et. al.*, 2020). These powerful GIS tools enable land reclamation planning with suitable conservation practices.

Integrated fuzzy membership and GIS model were used to analyze arable land suitable for farming. Topography and eight soil parameters were utilized for fuzzy membership classification and the important crop productivity-related soil features were accommodated accordingly. Fuzzy membership allowed the consideration of partial memberships which is unlikely in classical approaches for classification. This self-adaptive approach revealed that the land was better suitable for groundnut cultivation contrary to the current practice of Finger millet cultivation. Results of this experiment proved that the GIS-based decision system can surpass the traditional knowledge and, if deployed accurately, can improve the productivity of land (Mendas and Delali, 2012).

There is the need of more technology as land and natural resources are declining, and the demand for food production is increasing rapidly. The fuzzy set model, AHP, and GIS were combined to generate a land suitability map for tobacco production (Zhang *et. al.*, 2015). This study has once again demonstrated the advantage of using Fuzzy membership functions for land suitability analysis. AHP has the power of accurately assigning weights to the input factors in a logical way. The maps were generated by ArcMap.

The integrated application of fuzzy, AHP, and GIS helped to circumvent the problems resulting from the uncertainties, subjectivities, and hierarchy characteristics of the traditional land suitability assessment process. GIS is a powerful tool to delineate the study area, manipulate geographic data, process maps, and present results in land suitability assessment. Integration of Fuzzy set and AHP methods with GIS provides a precise and powerful combination in applying for land suitability analysis. Researchers advocate that Fuzzy logic coupled with other decision-making methods is one of the best approaches for land suitability analysis (Mendas and Delali, 2012). Scientists are also exploring artificial intelligence along with GIS for efficient land use planning (Singha *et. al.*, 2016).

2.5.2 *Soil Health and Fertility Management*

Soil fertility is directly proportional to productivity. It controls the availability of nutrients and water to the crop. The soil fertility has been degrading due to various factors like pollution, sealing, overgrazing, waterlogging, excessive use of agricultural chemicals, and erosion (Parnes 2013). It is crucial to determine soil health and fertility status for planning effective practices for site-specific management or precision farming (Velayutham and Bhattacharyya 2000). Soil macronutrients (N, P, and K), micronutrients (Zn, Mn, and Fe), pH, soil organic carbon (SOC), water holding capacity, erosion status, and moisture content are extensively used features for soil fertility status assessment (Wang and Cao, 2011; Yang *et. al.*, 2019). Spatial interpolation, Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) (Malczewski 1999; Feng *et. al.*, 2012), and Ordered

Weighted Averaging (OWA) (Malczewski and Rinner, 2005) are the most popular geospatial analysis techniques which provide spatiotemporal variability of soil health and fertility status to the decision-makers.

Soil erosion status is an essential parameter for soil quality assessment and spatial variation in erosion gives a clear picture for agricultural planning (Kusre *et. al.*, 2018). It was demonstrated that geospatial maps of soil erodibility generated by Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method is a great tool for assisting in sub-watershed level land use planning.

AbdelRahman *et. al.,* (2016) combined remote sensing and GIS technology to assess the soil fertility status. They have used the LISS III and IV images for land use classification and the RUSLE method for soil erosion estimation, collected the soil nutrient field data, and applied a geostatistical model to identify the spatial variation of soil erosion and nutrient availability. In another study by Mokarram *et. al.,* (2017), used the IDWmodel for derived soil nutrient maps and applied the OWA method to make the maps homogenized and used those as the input for the fuzzy inference system for soil fertility mapping. Fuzzy mathematics developed with soil organic matter (SOC), total N, total P, total K, available N, available P, available K, pH value, and cation exchange capacity as indicators in ArcGIS showed that the soil fertility of mid- and low-yielding fields were low and are directly correlated with soil profile configuration (Li and Zhang, 2011). The association of crop productivity with the soil fertility is evident and GIS-based soil maps and fertility status give prior information about the field-specific crop suitability.

2.5.3 GIS and Crop Production

Soil maps, combined with data recorded from remote sensors and GPS technology can drastically improve farm outputs. Remote sensing can collect data on the health of crops, soil moisture, soil temperature, soil nutrition or the presence of pests and invasive plants. This information can be combined with GIS software to develop varied fertilizing rates across a field, so that more fertilizer is distributed to the parts of the field that need it most. This enables farmers to reduce their fertilizer use, thus, saving them money and reducing the side effects of excess fertilizer run off. The same system can be applied to watering rates, pesticide and herbicide application (Daniel 2022).

Leena *et. al.,* (2016) proposed GIS-enabled cloud technology for soil fertility management decision support system. This system has the capability to make fertilizer recommendation based on soil test and crop response. This recommendation system helps farmers optimize their fertilizer usage and maximize yield. This system generated spatial nutrient variation works as fantastic e-governance system for the government agencies. GPS- and GIS-based soil fertility maps are great tools for thorough monitoring of the soil health and, based on such maps, (Mishra *et. al.*, 2014) recommended application of paper mill sludge to reduce acidity in the soil and cultivate pulses and groundnut to make the best use of the acidic soil. These geospatial soil maps have proven to be an effective decision support system in the context of food production challenges due to soil degradation. Tunçay*et. al.*,(2001) applied soil fertility index (SFI) based on the variables of sand, silt, clay, pH, EC, OM, $CaCO_3$, N total, Pavb, Kexc, Caexc, Naexc, Mgexc, and available micronutrients (Feavb, Cuavb, Znavb, Mnavb) and proved the strength of SFI. This study demonstrated the potential of combining Sentinel 2 image-derived crop yield for validation of soil fertility model. Advances in the observatory systems such as remotely sensed data of fine-to-coarse spatiotemporal resolutions, and in the process-based and data-driven modeling techniques have facilitated the collection, storage, analysis, visualization, and interpretation of non-spatial data for soil fertility index (SFI) (Li *et. al.*, 2012).

Li *et. al.*, (2012) applied weighted space fuzzy clustering coupled with the soil nutrient space mutation distribution for soil fertility characterization. This information aids in optimizing the fertilizer recommendation system. Agricultural practices such as crop residue management, nutrient management, soil tillage, and pest management affect ecosystem goods and services and soil quality and fertility (Lal 2015; El-Naggar *et. al., 2019*). The best management practices, compatible land use/cover changes, and land suitability analysis are required to prevent the degradation and loss of prime farmlands (Bagherzadeh and Gholizadeh, 2018). Soil erosion management, soil biodiversity improvement, and rehabilitative farming systems are some of the best management practices used to improve soil quality and crop yields (Yageta *et. al.*, 2019).

A study that leveraged GIS and fuzzy evaluation method to evaluate the soil fertility status used total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil organic matter, cation exchange capacity, and pH as indicators for the generation of fertility indices (Li and Zhang, 2011). This fertility index revealed that total nitrogen and soil organic matter are higher for paddy fields.

These fertility maps also give an insight into the suitable soil qualities under different types of land use and climatic conditions. Sub-watershed level nutrient mapping revealed that available

N, P, S, Zn, and Fe are controlling agents of soil fertility (Patil *et. al.*, 2016). Thus, fertility maps and their relationship with soil properties and crop yields serve as an information system for precision agriculture.

2.6: Benefits of Using GIS in Soil fertility Management

Using GIS (Geographic Information System) in soil fertility management offers several benefits, including:

i. **Real-time spatial information:** GIS provides real-time spatial information on soil fertility, which can be used by farmers to make informed decisions about soil management and fertilizer application.

ii. **Integration of diverse data:** GIS allows the integration of various types of spatial information, such as agro-climatic zones, land use, and soil management practices, to derive valuable insights.

iii. **Enhanced production and yield:** GIS has enabled farmers to increase production and yields by optimizing land resources and managing soil fertility more efficiently.

iv. **Cost reduction:** GIS helps farmers reduce costs by providing precise information on soil fertility, allowing them to apply fertilizers and other inputs more strategically.

v. **Sustainable agriculture:** GIS can help farmers maintain soil sustainability by providing information on optimal stocking rates, environmental conditions, and crop production.

vi. **Precision agriculture:** GIS plays a significant role in precision agriculture, with GPS and sensors fitted to tractors and other farm implements to continuously feed data back to optimize soil management.

vii. **Soil fertility mapping:** GIS can be used to create soil fertility maps, showing soil nutrients and spatial variance, which can provide necessary fertility information for farmers and local governments.

viii. **Decision support for nutrient management:** GIS can help farmers and policymakers make informed decisions about nutrient management by analyzing soil data and predicting yields.

ix. **Collaboration and data sharing:** GIS allows for the sharing of data and maps between departments, organizations, and local communities, promoting collaboration and informed decision-making in soil fertility management.

x. **Adaptation to climate change:** GIS can help farmers and policymakers adapt to climate change by monitoring water supplies, forecasting droughts, and evaluating the economic and environmental effects of human activities and natural phenomena.

In summary, GIS plays a crucial role in soil fertility management by providing real-time information, integrating diverse data, enhancing production and yield, reducing costs, promoting sustainable agriculture, and facilitating collaboration and data sharing.

2.7: Challenges

There are several challenges associated with the application of GIS in soil fertility mapping, including:

i. **Inaccuracy in soil sampling and mapping:** Sampling one point and assuming homogeneity surrounding that point can lead to inaccuracies in soil fertility mapping. GIS and geostatistics can help mitigate this challenge, especially in precision agriculture.

ii. **Reproducibility:** Developing a software model framework for replicating results in soil data processing is essential for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of GIS-based soil fertility maps.

iii. **Data harmonization:** Combining and integrating data from various sources, such as remote sensing, soil surveys, and agricultural records, can be challenging due to differences in data formats, scales, and units.

iv. **Spatial resolution:** Aggregating results at different scales can be difficult, as high-resolution data may be needed to capture the spatial variability of soil fertility.

v. **Uncertainty:** Assessing the uncertainty associated with soil fertility maps is crucial for understanding the reliability of the information and making informed decisions based on the maps.

vi. **Integration with other technologies:** Combining GIS with other technologies, such as remote sensing and soil science, can help improve the accuracy and efficiency of soil fertility mapping and management.

vii. **Delays in practical application:** There is often a delay between the development of new advancements in GIS and soil science technology and their practical application at the local level.

viii. To address these challenges, researchers and practitioners can leverage GIS, geostatistics, and other spatial analysis tools to improve the accuracy and reliability of soil fertility maps, which can in turn help optimize agricultural practices and enhance productivity.

3.0 Conclusions

In conclusion, the application of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in soil fertility management has revolutionized modern agricultural practices. GIS technology enables the integration of spatial data, such as soil types, topography, and climate patterns, with agricultural information, allowing for informed decision-making and optimized resource allocation. The principle of geographic referencing in GIS, where each data point is associated with a specific location on the Earth's surface, is fundamental for precision agriculture, which relies heavily on GIS for tasks such as field mapping, soil testing, tractor guidance, crop scouting, and yield mapping. The use of GIS in precision agriculture enables farmers to make data-driven decisions, leading to optimized resource allocation, improved crop yields, and environmentally friendly farming practices. The benefits and applications of GIS for precision farming include mapping and monitoring soil characteristics and variability, creating soil management zones, applying variable rate inputs, accessing and comparing data from different sources, and experimenting with different scenarios. The use of GIS in soil fertility management contributes to the sustainability and productivity of agricultural systems, promoting evidence-informed decision-making for improving agriculture sustainability.

REFERENCES

AbdelRahman, M. A., Natarajan, A., Srinivasamurthy, C. A., & Hegde, R. (2016). Estimating soil fertility status in physically degraded land using GIS and remote sensing techniques in Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, India. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 19(1), 95-106.

Adams, M. J. (2022). Soil health: The hidden value in our fields. Soil Health Institute.

Ahmed, M., & Kumar, R. (2020). Monitoring soil fertility changes using GIS and remote sensing: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 261, 110-119.

Bagherzadeh, A., & Gholizadeh, A. (2018). Assessment of soil fertility for sugar beet production using fuzzy AHP approach and GIS in the Northeastern region of Iran. Agricultural Research (Kurashiki), 7(1), 61-71.

Bingfng, W., & Chenglin, L. (2000). Crop growth monitor system with coupling of AVHRR and VGT data, vegetation, conference. Lake Maggiore, Italy.

Burrough, P. A., & McDonnell, R. A. (1998). Principles of Geographical Information Systems. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Çakmakçı, R., Salık, M. A., & Çakmakçı, S. (2023). Assessment and principles of environmentally sustainable food and agriculture systems. Agriculture, 13(5), Article 1073. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13051073

Clark, M. (2020). Soil health: The key to sustainable agriculture. Soil Health Institute.

Daniel, O. (2022). Applications of GIS in soil science. MapScaping Podcast. Retrieved from https://mapscaping.com/applications-of-gis-in-s0il-science/

Davis, M. L. (2019). Nutrient management for sustainable agriculture. Academic Press.

Delgado, J. A., Short, N. M., Roberts, D. P., & Vandenberg, B. (2019). Big data analysis for sustainable agriculture on a geospatial cloud framework. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 3, 54.

El Baroudy, A. A. (2016). Mapping and evaluating land suitability using a GIS-based model. Atena, 140, 96-104.

El-Naggar, A., Lee, S. S., Rinklebe, J., Farooq, M., Song, H., Sarmah, A. K., et al. (2019). Biochar application to low fertility soils: A review of current status, and future prospects. *Geoderma*, 337, 536-554.

El-Seedy, E., El-Sekandy, A., Mostafa, M., Hassan, H., Mohammed, G., & Mahmoud, A. (2022, March 15). Soil fertility assessment in newly reclaimed sandy soil as affected by different soil amendments. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 46, Article 94.

FAO. (2017). The Future of Food and Agriculture—Trends and Challenges. Rome: FAO.

Feng, L., Zhang, J., & Li, Y. (2018). Application of GIS in soil fertility management. In Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Computer, Intelligent Computing and Education Technology (CICET 2018) (pp. 1-5). Atlantis Press.

Feng, X. Y., Luo, G. P., Li, C. F., Dai, L., & Lu, L. (2012). Dynamics of ecosystem service value caused by land use changes in Manas River of Xinjiang, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research,* 6(2), 499-508.

Gebeyehu, M. N. (2019). Remote sensing and GIS application in agriculture and natural resource management. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences & Natural Resources,* 19(2), 45-49.

Ghosh, P., & Kumpatla, S. (2022). GIS Applications in Agriculture. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104786

Gomiero, T. (2013). Alternative land management strategies and their impact on soil conservation. Agriculture, 3(3), 464-483.

Gomiero, T. (2016). Soil degradation, land scarcity and food security: Reviewing a complex challenge. Sustainability, 8(3), 281.

Gonzalez, M. (2020). Soil fertility. In R. L. Fischer (ed.), Encyclopedia of Agricultural Sciences (2nd ed., Vol. 3). Springer.

Goovaerts, P. (1999). Geostatistics in soil science: state-of-the-art and perspectives. Geoderma, 89(1-2), 1-45.

Havlin, J. L., Beaton, J. D., Tisdale, S. L., & Nelson, W. L. (2005). Soil fertility and fertilizers: an introduction to nutrient management. Pearson Prentice Hall.

Hengl, T., Heuvelink, G. B., & Rossiter, D. G. (2004). About regression-kriging: From equations to case studies. *Computers & Geosciences*, 30(9-10), 937-946.

Kazemi, H., & Akinci, H. (2018). A land use suitability model for rainfed farming by Multi-criteria Decision-making Analysis (MCDA) and Geographic Information System (GIS). *Ecological Engineering,* 116, 1-6.

Kerry, R., Goovaerts, P., & Webster, R. (2010). Mapping soil fertility: a comparison of spatial interpolation methods. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science,* 24(7), 1079-1099.

Kingra, P. K., Majumder, D., & Singh, S. P. (2016). Application of remote sensing and GIS in agriculture and natural resource management under changing climatic conditions. *Agricultural Research Journal,* 53(3), 295-302.

Kitchen, N. R., Sudduth, K. A., Drummond, S. T., & Wiebold, W. J. (2005). Precision agriculture technologies for site-specific crop management. *Crop Science*, 45(6), 2549-2562.

Kravchenko, A. N., Robertson, G. P., & Thelen, K. D. (2002). Spatial variability of soil properties and soybean yield on a clay soil in Michigan. *Journal of Environmental Quality,* 31(6), 1980-1989.

Kumar, R. (2021). Application of GIS in soil fertility management. In Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Computer, Intelligent Computing and Education Technology (CICET 2021) (pp. 1-5). Atlantis Press.

Kumar, R., & Ravi, R. (2022). Application of GIS in soil fertility management: A review. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 14(1), 1-15.

Kusre, B. C., Ghosh, P., & Nath, K. (2018). Prioritization of soil conservation measures using erodibility indices as criteria in Sikkim (India). *Journal of Earth System Science*, 127(6), 1-3.

Lal, R. (2015). Restoring soil quality to mitigate soil degradation. Sustainability, 7, 5875-5895.

Lee, W., Thomas, J., & Patel, R. (2019). Soil fertility management for agricultural sustainability. Sustainability, 12(3), 855-865.

Leena, H. U., Premasudha, B. G., & Basavaraja, P. K. (2016). Sensible approach for soil fertility management using GIS cloud. In 2016 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI) (pp. 2776-2781). IEEE.

Li, C., Chen, G., Zeng, G., & Ye, J. (2012). The study of soil fertility spatial variation feature based on GIS and data mining. In International Conference on Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture (pp. 211-220). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.

Li, M., & Zhang, X. L. (2011). GIS-based evaluation of farmland soil fertility and its relationships with soil profile configuration pattern. Ying Yong Sheng tai xue bao= *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 22(1), 129-136.

Li, Y., Liu, X., & Li, Y. (2020). Precision agriculture technology based on GIS in hilly areas. *Journal of Jiangsu University (Natural Science Edition)*, 41(5), 610-616.

Li, Z., & Zhou, Q. (2018). Essential plant nutrients. In Z. Li (ed.), Principles of Plant Nutrition (pp. 55-75). CRC Press.

Li, Z., Patel, R., & Ahmed, M. (2021). GIS applications in precision agriculture: A review. Precison Agriculture, 22(3), 587-602.

Malczewski, J. (1999). GIS and Multicriteria Decision Analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Malczewski, J., & Rinner, C. (2005). Exploring multicriteria decision strategies in GIS with linguistic quantifiers: A case study of residential quality evaluation. *Journal of Geography System*, 7(2), 249-268.

Mallarino, A. P., & Wittry, D. J. (2004). Soil sampling and analysis for nutrient management. Iowa State University Extension.

Mendas, A., & Delali, A. (2012). Integration of MultiCriteria Decision Analysis in GIS to develop land suitability for agriculture: Application to durum wheat cultivation in the region of Mleta in Algeria. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 83, 117-126.

Mishra, A., Pattnaik, T., Das, D., & Das, M. (2014). Soil fertility maps preparation using GPS and GIS in Dhenkanal District, Odisha, India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 3(8), 986-994.

Mokarram, M., & Hojati, M. (2017). Using ordered weight averaging (OWA) aggregation for multi-criteria soil fertility evaluation by GIS (case study: Southeast Iran). *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 132, 1-3.

Moore, I. D., Grayson, R. B., & Ladson, A. R. (1993). Digital terrain modelling: a review of hydrological, geomorphological, and biological applications. Hydrological Processes, 7(1), 3-30.

Mulla, D. J., & Schepers, J. S. (1997). Precision agriculture: GIS-based map development. In Proceedings of the 1997 Conference on Precision Agriculture (pp. 1-12).

National Geographic Education (NGE). (n.d.). Geographic Information System (GIS). Retrieved on 12th Dec. 2022 from https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/geographic-information-system-gis/

Oliver, M. A., & Webster, R. (2014). A tutorial guide to geostatistics: computing and modelling variograms and kriging. Catena Verlag.

Pan, G., & Pan, J. (2011). Research in crop land suitability analysis based on GIS. In International Conference on Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture (pp. 314-325). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.

Parnes, R. (2013). Soil Fertility: A Guide to Organic and Inorganic Soil Amendments.

Patel, B., & Kumar, R. (2022). GIS modeling of agricultural scenarios. Agricultural Systems, 189, 430-440.

Patel, R. (2019). Soil fertility management using GIS: A review. Frontiers in Built Environment, 6, 558.

Patel, R., & Rana, S. (2018). Soil fertility management using GIS: A review. Frontiers in Built Environment, 6, 558.

Patel, R., & Shah, J. (2022). Geographic Information Systems in Agriculture: Applications in Soil Fertility Management. Frontiers in Built Environment, 8, 814180.

Patel, R., & Singh, J. (2020). Soil fertility management using GIS: A review. Frontiers in Built Environment, 6, 558.

Patel, R., Shah, A., & Ahmed, M. (2019). GIS and remote sensing applications in precision agriculture: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 242, 395-414.

Patil, P. L., Kuligod, V. B., Gundlur, S. S., Katti, J., Nagaral, I. N., Shikrashetti, P., et al. (2016). Soil fertility mapping in Dindur sub-watershed of Karnataka for site-specific recommendations. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 64(4), 381-390.

Ravi, R., & Singh, J. (2017). Enhancing soil fertility management through GIS and remote sensing. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 25(6), 879-896.

Ravi, R., Kumar, R., & Patel, R. (2022). GIS-based soil fertility mapping for precision agriculture: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 306, 114-122.

Ravi, S., & Shah, A. (2018). Principles of geographic referencing in GIS. Annals of GIS, 24(1), 1-10.

Rodriguez, J. A., & Cooper, M. B. (2022). Soil health: The key to sustainable agriculture. Soil Health Institute.

Shah, A. (2022). Soil health: The key to sustainable agriculture. Soil Health Institute.

Shah, A., Li, Z., Patel, B., & Singh, K. (2019). Unlocking the potential of soil data through GIS. Geoderma, 352, 49-60.

Singh, J. (2017). Enhancing soil fertility management through GIS and remote sensing. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 25(6), 879-896.

Singh, J., & Kumar, R. (2021). Enhancing soil fertility management through GIS and remote sensing. *Journal of Geographic Information System,* 13(1), 1-15.

Singh, K. (2022). GIS applications in natural resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 279, 111530.

Singh, R. P., Pandey, A. K., & Kumar, R. (2020). Remote sensing and GIS-based soil fertility mapping in tropical agriculture. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 7(1), 45-54.

Singha, C., & Swain, K. C. (2016). Land suitability evaluation criteria for agricultural crop selection: A review. Agricultural Reviews, 37, 2.

Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2017). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press.

Soomro, T. R. (2015). GIS enabling smart agriculture. In Smart Agriculture: An Approach towards Better Agriculture Management (pp. 1-6).

Sudduth, K. A., Kitchen, N. R., & Wiebold, W. J. (1997). Development of site-specific soil and crop management strategies using soil electrical conductivity mapping. In Proceedings of the 1997 Conference on Precision Agriculture (pp. 1-12).

Tashayo, B., Honarbakhsh, A., Akbari, M., & Eftekhari, M. (2020). Land suitability assessment for maize farming using a GIS-AHP method for a semi-arid region. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences,* 19(5), 332-338.

Thompson, J. D. (2016). Soil fertility. In R. L. Fischer (ed.), Encyclopedia of Agricultural Sciences (2nd ed., Vol. 3). Springer.

Tunçay, T., Kılıç, Ş., Dedeoğlu, M., Dengiz, O., Başkan, O., & Bayramin, İ. (2021). Assessing soil fertility index based on remote sensing and GIS techniques with field validation in a semiarid agricultural ecosystem. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 190, 104525.

U.S. Geological Survey. (n.d.). What is a geographic information system (GIS)? [FAQ]. Retrieved on 12th Dec. 2022 from https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/what-geographic-information-system-gis

Velayutham, M., & Bhattacharyya, T. (2000). Soil resource management. In Natural Resource Management for Agricultural Production in India (pp. 14-18). Special publication during International Conference on Management of Natural Resources for Sustainable Agricultural Production in the 21st Century.

Wang, G., & Cao, F. (2011). Integrated evaluation of soil fertility in Ginkgo (Ginkgo biloba L.) agroforestry systems in Jiangsu, China. Agroforestry Systems, 83(1), 89-100.

Wu, J., & Kumar, R. (2021). Application of GIS in soil fertility management: A review. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 23(6), 879-896.

Wu, J., & Shah, A. (2019). Application of GIS in soil fertility management: A review. *Journal of Geographic Information System,* 11(6), 879-896.

Yageta, Y., Osbahr, H., Morimoto, Y., & Clark, J. (2019). Comparing farmers' qualitative evaluation of soil fertility with quantitative soil fertility indicators in Kitui County, Kenya. Geoderma, 344, 153-163.

Yang, M. H., Mouazen, A. M., Zhao, X. M., & Guo, X. (2019). Assessment of a soil fertility index using visible and near-infrared spectroscopy in the rice paddy region of southern China. European Journal of Soil Science.

Yang, P., Tan, G. X., Zha, Y., & Shibasaki, R. (2004). Integrating remotely sensed data with an ecosystem model to estimate crop yield in North China. In The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey (Vol. 35, B7, pp. 150-155).

Yao, Xiaobai A. (2020-01-01), "Georeferencing and Geocoding", in Kobayashi, Audrey (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography (Second Edition)*, Oxford: Elsevier, pp. 111–117, doi:10.1016/b978-0-08-102295-5.10548-7, ISBN 978-0-08-102296-2, S2CID 241797395, retrieved 2022-01-04.

Young, J., & Li, Z. (2022). Integration of GIS and precision agriculture technologies for soil fertility management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 303, 114-122.

Young, R. (2017). Soil-plant interactions. In R. L. Fischer (ed.), Encyclopedia of Agricultural Sciences (2nd ed., Vol. 3). Springer.

Young, R. (2018). Hydrogeography and Soil-Water Relationships. In Encyclopedia of the Environment (pp. 1-14). Springer.

Zabihi, H., Ahmad, A., Vogeler, I., Said, M. N., Golmohammadi, M., Golein, B., et al. (2015). Land suitability procedure for sustainable citrus planning using the application of the analytical network process approach and GIS. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 117, 114-126.

Zhang, J., Su, Y., Wu, J., & Liang, H. (2015). GIS based land suitability assessment for tobacco production using AHP and fuzzy set in Shandong province of China. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 114, 202-211.

Zhou, Q., Patel, R., & Ahmed, M. (2022). GIS-based monitoring of soil fertility changes in agricultural lands. *Journal of Environmental Management,* 303, 114-122.

Zhou, Z., & Ravi, Q. (2017). Impact of topography on soil formation and nutrient distribution in a tropical region. *Science of The Total Environment,* 599, 1-12.

Zolekar, R. B., & Bhagat, V. S. (2015). Multicriteria land suitability analysis for agriculture in hilly zone: Remote sensing and GIS approach. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 118, 300-321.