



**J. K. Press**

Journal Name: [Journal of Disease and Global Health](#)

Manuscript Number: **Ms\_JODAGH\_11870**

Title of the Manuscript: **ASSOCIATION BETWEEN LIFESTYLE AND BIOSOCIAL CONDITIONS WITH ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION  
AMONG ADULT PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA**

Type of the Article **Original Research Article**



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li><li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li><li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li><li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li><li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li><li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</li></ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Author claimed that this type of study topics was limited in their region. So, this study might be the spark for further related studies. However, the poor and redundant writing in this study should be improved.</li><li>2. Title is suitable for the study.</li><li>3. The abstract is comprehensive but requires some improvement in writing.</li><li>4. Yes, they are appropriate for the study</li><li>5. The Author have not mentioned about the pre-structured questionnaire used for the diagnosis of ED, its validation and reference. Some of the data were repeatedly mentioned in the result section with same figure at two places. The Author describing the tables and figures in result section is not worthy. There is duplication of variables with different data in table 1 and figure 1.</li><li>6. The most of the references taken by author were limited within the country of the study. However, the references from other part of the world would be better.</li></ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li></ol>	<p>Author should work a bit more on language. Basic issues in writing with minor grammatical mistakes. Author should fix those grammar, spelling and structure of the sentences so that the content will get essence of gist. The repetitive data should be minimized.</p>	



# J. K. Press

<b>Optional</b> comments	The author should describe and highlight only key points from tables and figures.	
--------------------------	---	--

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dipesh Tamrakar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vinayak Hospital and Maternity Home Pvt. Ltd., Nepal</b>