



J. K. Press

Journal Name: [Asian Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Studies](#)
Manuscript Number: **Ms_AJAHSS_11799**
Title of the Manuscript: **Womanism; A critical Search of Ethiopian Lore on Sex, Race and Class through Tsegaye Gebre Medhin's literary works**
Type of the Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>We find the manuscript to be important for scientific community. Concerning the value to the readership, the presented aspects are of international use as they are from the field feminism and gender studies, which is very topical nowadays. To be more specific, this qualitatively conducted research deals with Ethiopian lore on Womanism.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, and we would like to highlight that the structure of the article is appropriate and logical.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive; it does not cover the principal aspects of the article (aims, study design, methodology, results, conclusion) in an understandable way.</p> <p>We strongly believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct; the authors of the article adhered to the principles of scientific work and literary methodology. Yet, the authors are asked to outline limitations of the investigated topic together with its future research perspectives.</p> <p>On the subject of the relevance of the presented data, it is vital to emphasize that the article relates to other works on the investigated topic. However, the references are not sufficient and the authors are asked to provide some recent sources within the section (published after 2015).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English language quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communication. We suggest the subsequent improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - to have the text English proofread; - to elaborate the graphical layout of the text, it is 	



J. K. Press

	inconsistent from this point of view; - to elaborate the quality of the text from the point of view of stylistics, any informal parts should be removed.	
Optional comments	<p>The principal advantage of the study is that proves that Tsegaye argues the dependency of men on women by delineating women as ways of hope and truth. Also this natural dichotomy gives us a line to apprehend their uniqueness. Referring to Tsegaye's works, comparing the incomparable natures may lead us to say they are equal or unequal. Yet, tthis kind of judgment is a mechanical explanation for Tsegaye. The crucial point of the study is that Tsegaye's works contain philosophical views on the dipiction of women.</p> <p>Overall, the investigated topic is current, suitable for publishing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marta Lacková
Department, University & Country	University of Žilina, Slovakia